

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## 2-(4-Aminopyridinio)acetate

Ge Liu

Chifeng University, Chifeng 024000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: liu\_ge2008@163.com


Received 24 February 2009; accepted 13 March 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.055;  $wR$  factor = 0.117; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.1.

In the title compound,  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$ , the dihedral angle between the pyridinium ring and the carboxylatomethyl group is  $74.5(1)^\circ$ . Strong intermolecular  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds between the amine and carboxylate groups form a layered hydrogen-bonded network perpendicular to  $[010]$ . In addition, there are some weak  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds present in the structure.

## Related literature

For the biological activity of pyridinium derivatives, see: Sliwa & Mianowska (1989). For hydrogen-bond definitions, see: Desiraju & Steiner (1999). For the analysis of bond order, see: Ludvík *et al.* (2007). For the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.30 and addenda up to 12th February 2009), see: Allen (2002).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

 $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$  $M_r = 152.15$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  $a = 8.9766(18)$  Å $b = 9.0555(18)$  Å $c = 8.9886(18)$  Å $\beta = 106.57(3)^\circ$  $V = 700.3(2)$  Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 4$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation $\mu = 0.11$  mm<sup>-1</sup> $T = 293$  K $0.16 \times 0.14 \times 0.12$  mm

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID-S

diffractometer

Absorption correction: none

7228 measured reflections

1599 independent reflections

1123 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.052$ 

## Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.055$  $wR(F^2) = 0.117$  $S = 1.11$ 

1599 reflections

106 parameters

2 restraints

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.20$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1A}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{i}}$	0.859 (16)	2.095 (16)	2.946 (2)	170 (2)
$\text{N1}-\text{H1A}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{i}}$	0.859 (16)	2.605 (19)	3.265 (2)	134.5 (18)
$\text{N1}-\text{H1B}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{ii}}$	0.881 (15)	2.041 (17)	2.891 (2)	162 (2)
$\text{C3}-\text{H3}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{iii}}$	0.93	2.42	3.334 (3)	166
$\text{C4}-\text{H4}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{iv}}$	0.93	2.38	3.247 (3)	155
$\text{C6}-\text{H6A}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{iv}}$	0.97	2.49	3.359 (3)	149

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x+1, -y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x+1, y, z$ ; (iii)  $-x, -y, -z$ ; (iv)  $x, -y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *RAPID-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *RAPID-AUTO*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MSK, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The author thanks Chifeng University for supporting this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FB2140).

## References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 380–388.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). *The Weak Hydrogen Bond In Structural Chemistry and Biology*, p. 13. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.
- Ludvík, J., Urban, J., Fábry, J. & Císařová, I. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **C63**, o259–o262.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **39**, 453–457.
- Rigaku (1998). *RAPID-AUTO*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSK (2002). *CrystalStructure*. Rigaku/MSK Inc., The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Sliwa, W. & Mianowska, B. (1989). *Heterocycles*, **29**, 557–595.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, o857 [ doi:10.1107/S1600536809009258 ]

## 2-(4-Aminopyridinio)acetate

G. Liu

### Comment

It is known that pyridinium derivatives have antibacterial and fungicidal activities (Sliwa & Mianowska, 1989). As 4-amino-pyridinium-*N*-acetate contains both amino and carboxylate groups, it may form interesting hydrogen-bonded network. Therefore the crystal structure analysis of the title compound has been undertaken. The molecular structure of the title structure is shown in Fig. 1. The dihedral angle between the planes of pyridinium ring and carboxymethylene fragment is 74.5°. Strong (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999) intermolecular N1—H1A···O2<sup>i</sup> and N1—H1B···O2<sup>ii</sup> (i: x + 1, -y + 1/2, z + 1/2; ii: x + 1, y, z) hydrogen bonds form the zig-zagged layer perpendicular to [010] (Fig. 2; Table 1), O2 is the acceptor of both amine hydrogens. In addition, weak hydrogen bonds between the pyridinium ring, methylene and the carboxylate groups, *i.e.* C4—H4···O1<sup>iv</sup> and C6—H6A···O1<sup>iv</sup> (iv: x, -y + 1/2, z + 1/2), are also involved in these layers. C3—H3···O1<sup>iii</sup> (iii: -x, -y, -z) hydrogen bonds between the pyridinium ring and the carboxylate groups interconnect the neighbouring layers (Table 1).

### Experimental

A solution of 4-aminopyridine (5.46 g, 0.058 mol), 1-chloroacetic acid (13.1 g, 0.139 mol) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (16.6 g, 0.157 mol) in 110 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O was stirred for 3 h at 373 K. Then the solution was acidified by concentrated HCl to pH = 2. The solution was left overnight in a refrigerator, the precipitation was filtered, affording colourless block shaped (about 0.12 mm - 0.14 mm) crystals of 4-amino-pyridinium-*N*-acetate.

### Refinement

All the hydrogens were discernible in the difference electron density map. All the H atoms except the amine group were placed into the geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with C<sub>methylene</sub>—H = 0.97 Å, C<sub>aryl</sub>—H = 0.93 Å.  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}_{\text{parent}})$ . The distances N—H of the amine hydrogens were restrained to 0.86 (2) Å because this group is involved in the hydrogen bond pattern, the bond order of C1—N1 (1.331 (2) Å) is about 1.5 (Ludvik *et al.*, 2007) and the result of the search in the Cambridge Crystallographic Structure Database (Allen, 2002; Version 5.30 and addenda up to 12th February 2009) gave the N<sub>amine</sub>—H···O about 160° as the most probable result. The displacement parameters of the amine hydrogens were constrained:  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$ .

### Figures




Fig. 1. The structure of 4-amino-pyridinium-*N*-acetate with the displacement ellipsoids shown at the 30% probability level.



Fig. 2. A depiction of the layer perpendicular to *b* axis with the N1—H1A···O2 and N1—H1B···O2 hydrogen bonds. Symmetry codes: (i)  $x + 1, -y + 1/2, z + 1/2$ ; (ii)  $x + 1, y, z$ .

## 2-(4-Aminopyridinio)acetate

### Crystal data

$C_7H_8N_2O_2$	$F_{000} = 320$
$M_r = 152.15$	$D_x = 1.443 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 8.9766 (18) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 5894 reflections
$b = 9.0555 (18) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.3\text{--}27.6^\circ$
$c = 8.9886 (18) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 106.57 (3)^\circ$	$T = 293 \text{ K}$
$V = 700.3 (2) \text{ \AA}^3$	Prism, colourless
$Z = 4$	$0.16 \times 0.14 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

### Data collection

Rigaku R-Axis RAPID-S diffractometer	1123 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.052$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$
$T = 293 \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.3^\circ$
$\omega$ scans	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction: none	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
7228 measured reflections	$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$
1599 independent reflections	

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.055$	Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map
$wR(F^2) = 0.117$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$S = 1.11$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0391P)^2 + 0.2309P]$
1599 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
106 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
2 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
26 constraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.20 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.4649 (2)	0.1375 (2)	0.3639 (2)	0.0269 (4)
C2	0.3811 (2)	0.0336 (2)	0.2546 (2)	0.0281 (5)
H2	0.4307	-0.0174	0.1924	0.034*
C3	0.2291 (2)	0.0078 (2)	0.2398 (2)	0.0296 (5)
H3	0.1759	-0.0610	0.1672	0.035*
C4	0.2291 (2)	0.1803 (2)	0.4331 (2)	0.0338 (5)
H4	0.1760	0.2300	0.4929	0.041*
C5	0.3814 (2)	0.2103 (2)	0.4532 (2)	0.0346 (5)
H5	0.4313	0.2797	0.5268	0.042*
C6	-0.0153 (2)	0.0564 (2)	0.2986 (2)	0.0321 (5)
H6A	-0.0465	0.0953	0.3858	0.039*
H6B	-0.0364	-0.0488	0.2927	0.039*
C7	-0.1133 (2)	0.1297 (2)	0.1497 (2)	0.0269 (4)
N1	0.6143 (2)	0.1661 (2)	0.3810 (2)	0.0361 (5)
H1A	0.662 (2)	0.228 (2)	0.450 (2)	0.043*
H1B	0.658 (2)	0.131 (2)	0.312 (2)	0.043*
N2	0.15247 (17)	0.07944 (18)	0.32765 (17)	0.0273 (4)
O1	-0.04740 (16)	0.19659 (17)	0.06662 (16)	0.0407 (4)
O2	-0.25727 (15)	0.11367 (17)	0.12513 (16)	0.0393 (4)

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0265 (10)	0.0294 (10)	0.0235 (10)	0.0018 (8)	0.0052 (8)	0.0062 (8)
C2	0.0303 (11)	0.0285 (11)	0.0263 (10)	0.0032 (8)	0.0093 (8)	-0.0020 (8)
C3	0.0312 (11)	0.0311 (11)	0.0243 (10)	-0.0003 (9)	0.0045 (8)	-0.0023 (9)
C4	0.0335 (11)	0.0383 (12)	0.0298 (11)	0.0031 (9)	0.0093 (9)	-0.0080 (10)
C5	0.0327 (11)	0.0377 (12)	0.0320 (11)	-0.0034 (10)	0.0070 (9)	-0.0123 (10)
C6	0.0258 (11)	0.0387 (12)	0.0316 (11)	0.0001 (9)	0.0078 (8)	0.0063 (10)
C7	0.0268 (11)	0.0277 (10)	0.0250 (10)	0.0013 (8)	0.0053 (8)	-0.0013 (8)
N1	0.0273 (10)	0.0454 (12)	0.0349 (11)	-0.0065 (8)	0.0079 (8)	-0.0043 (9)
N2	0.0216 (8)	0.0353 (10)	0.0233 (8)	0.0026 (7)	0.0035 (6)	0.0012 (7)

## supplementary materials

O1	0.0358 (8)	0.0505 (10)	0.0352 (8)	-0.0031 (7)	0.0089 (7)	0.0149 (7)
O2	0.0214 (8)	0.0584 (10)	0.0354 (8)	0.0015 (7)	0.0038 (6)	0.0053 (7)

### Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N1	1.331 (2)	C5—H5	0.9300
C1—C5	1.410 (3)	C6—N2	1.468 (2)
C1—C2	1.412 (3)	C6—C7	1.528 (3)
C2—C3	1.353 (3)	C6—H6A	0.9700
C2—H2	0.9300	C6—H6B	0.9700
C3—N2	1.351 (2)	C7—O1	1.236 (2)
C3—H3	0.9300	C7—O2	1.256 (2)
C4—C5	1.354 (3)	N1—H1A	0.859 (16)
C4—N2	1.354 (3)	N1—H1B	0.881 (15)
C4—H4	0.9300		
N1—C1—C5	121.68 (19)	N2—C6—C7	113.54 (16)
N1—C1—C2	122.00 (18)	N2—C6—H6A	108.9
C5—C1—C2	116.32 (17)	C7—C6—H6A	108.9
C3—C2—C1	120.36 (18)	N2—C6—H6B	108.9
C3—C2—H2	119.8	C7—C6—H6B	108.9
C1—C2—H2	119.8	H6A—C6—H6B	107.7
N2—C3—C2	121.80 (18)	O1—C7—O2	126.61 (18)
N2—C3—H3	119.1	O1—C7—C6	119.14 (17)
C2—C3—H3	119.1	O2—C7—C6	114.24 (17)
C5—C4—N2	121.39 (19)	C1—N1—H1A	119.6 (15)
C5—C4—H4	119.3	C1—N1—H1B	118.9 (15)
N2—C4—H4	119.3	H1A—N1—H1B	121 (2)
C4—C5—C1	120.72 (19)	C3—N2—C4	119.40 (17)
C4—C5—H5	119.6	C3—N2—C6	119.69 (17)
C1—C5—H5	119.6	C4—N2—C6	120.71 (16)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-179.64 (18)	N2—C6—C7—O2	-178.50 (17)
C5—C1—C2—C3	-0.2 (3)	C2—C3—N2—C4	0.3 (3)
C1—C2—C3—N2	0.0 (3)	C2—C3—N2—C6	175.09 (17)
N2—C4—C5—C1	0.3 (3)	C5—C4—N2—C3	-0.5 (3)
N1—C1—C5—C4	179.46 (19)	C5—C4—N2—C6	-175.22 (18)
C2—C1—C5—C4	0.0 (3)	C7—C6—N2—C3	-72.5 (2)
N2—C6—C7—O1	1.6 (3)	C7—C6—N2—C4	102.2 (2)

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>
N1—H1A $\cdots$ O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.859 (16)	2.095 (16)	2.946 (2)	170 (2)
N1—H1A $\cdots$ O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.859 (16)	2.605 (19)	3.265 (2)	134.5 (18)
N1—H1B $\cdots$ O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.881 (15)	2.041 (17)	2.891 (2)	162 (2)
C3—H3 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.93	2.42	3.334 (3)	166
C4—H4 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.93	2.38	3.247 (3)	155
C6—H6A $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.97	2.49	3.359 (3)	149

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x+1, -y+1/2, z+1/2$ ; (ii)  $x+1, y, z$ ; (iii)  $-x, -y, -z$ ; (iv)  $x, -y+1/2, z+1/2$ .

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

